

1 BILAL A. ESSAYLI  
United States Attorney  
2 CHRISTINA T. SHAY  
Assistant United States Attorney  
3 Chief, Criminal Division  
KEVIN B. REIDY (Cal. Bar No. 320583)  
4 BENEDETTO L. BALDING (Cal. Bar No. 244508)  
Assistant United States Attorney  
5 Major Frauds/Transnational Organized Crime Sections  
1100 United States Courthouse  
6 312 North Spring Street  
Los Angeles, California 90012  
7 Telephone: (213) 894-8536/2274  
Facsimile: (213) 894-0141  
8 E-mail: kevin.reidy@usdoj.gov  
Benedetto.balding@usdoj.gov  
9

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

12 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 ANDREW A. WIEDERHORN, et al.,

17 Defendants.  
18  
19

No. CR 24-00295-RGK

[PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL  
DATE AND FINDINGS REGARDING  
EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS PURSUANT  
TO SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

**[PROPOSED] TRIAL DATE: [01-13-26]**

20 The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding  
21 Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of  
22 Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the  
23 parties in this matter. The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation,  
24 which this Court incorporates by reference into this Order,  
25 demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the trial date in  
26 this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of excludable time  
27 pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.  
28

1 The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by  
2 the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and  
3 defendants in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance  
4 would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible,  
5 or result in a miscarriage of justice; (iii) failure to grant the  
6 continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel  
7 and would deny the parties the reasonable time necessary for  
8 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due  
9 diligence; and (iv) the case is so unusual and so complex, due to the  
10 nature of the prosecution, the number of defendants, and the  
11 existence of novel questions of fact and law that it is unreasonable  
12 to expect preparation for pre-trial proceedings or for the trial  
13 itself within the time limits established by the Speedy Trial Act.

14 THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

15 1. The trial in this matter is continued from October 28,  
16 2025, to January 13, 2026.

17 2. The time period of October 28, 2025, to January 13, 2026,  
18 inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial  
19 must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i),  
20 (h)(7)(B)(ii), (h)(7)(B)(iv), and (h)(6).

21 3. Defendants shall appear at the United States District Court  
22 at 255 East Temple Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012, Courtroom 850, 8th  
23 Floor on January 13, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

24 4. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other  
25 provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time  
26 periods are excluded from the period within which trial must  
27 commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of  
28 the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of

1 additional time periods from the period within which trial must  
2 commence.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4  
5 \_\_\_\_\_  
6 DATE

\_\_\_\_\_   
HONORABLE R. GARY KLAUSNER  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

7  
8  
9 Presented by:

10 \_\_\_\_\_  
11 /s/  
KEVIN B. REIDY  
Assistant United States Attorney